United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,309	09/02/2003	Patrick Pak-Chiu Leung	2705-735	4378
20575 7590 09/12/2007 MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			BHATTACHARYA, SAM	
PORTLAND,	JR 9/204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/654,309	LEUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sam Bhattacharya	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u> June 2007</u> .				
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No seceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensious Su	ımmary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Dateormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/654,309 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/18/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallstrom et al. (US 20040192352) in view of Alldredge (US 6,181,931).

Regarding claim 1, Vallstrom discloses a method for dynamic configuration of a mobile access point 10, the determining a position of the mobile access point, identifying a region based on the position, and automatically updating configuration information associated with an application of the mobile access point based on the region, wherein the configuration information is for configuring wireless communications for the mobile access point within the region. See FIG. 1 and paragraph [0050], lines 1-14.

Vallstrom fails to disclose that the mobile access point is operable to provide a point of connection for wireless communications between a distributed computer network and a wireless client device and the configuration information includes an updated radio frequency for transmitting wireless communications between the distributed computer network and a wireless client device.

However, in an analogous art, Alldredge discloses these features in claims 2 and 11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method in Vallstrom by incorporating these features in Alldredge for the purpose of allowing enhanced communication and allowing users of various fixed and portable communication devices to be informed of the location of the mobile access point.

Regarding claim 2, Vallstrom discloses that the mobile access point 10 is a router since the mobile access point routes signals among different servers. See FIG. 4.

Regarding claim 3, Vallstrom discloses that the mobile access point communicates by a wireless connection to a distributed computer network 33,34 in the region using mobile internet protocol (IP). See FIG. 2 and paragraph [0038], lines 1-22.

Regarding claim 4, Vallstrom discloses that the application operates at a physical layer of a protocol stack of the mobile access point, since signaling and binary transmission occurs at the physical layer.

Regarding claim 5, Vallstrom discloses that the application is a transceiver providing communication over a wireless connection 11. See FIG. 1.

Regarding claim 6, Vallstrom discloses that the configuration information includes a radio frequency, a maximum conducted power output, and a maximum antenna gain. See paragraph [0029], lines 1-9.

Regarding claim 7, Vallstrom discloses that the determining the position is performed periodically according to a predetermined time period. See paragraph [0029], lines 33-41.

Regarding claim 8, Vallstrom discloses that the position determination system is a global positioning system (GPS) system. See paragraph [0029], lines 33-37.

Regarding claim 9, Vallstrom discloses that the application operates at an application layer of a protocol stack of the mobile access point via application server 30. See paragraph [0030], lines 1-5.

Regarding claim 10, Vallstrom discloses that the configuration information is selected from a group consisting of: language, routing protocol, service provider, management protocol, telephone number, identification of entity for managing the mobile access point. See paragraph [0038], lines 1-22.

Regarding claim 11, Vallstrom discloses a mobile access point 10 including a processor 10A for updating configuration information in response to a geographic position, wherein the configuration information is for configuring wireless communications for the mobile access point within the region; a transceiver 10B coupled to the processor, the transceiver associated with the configuration information and communicatively coupled to a distributed computer network 33,34 over a wireless connection 11; a memory unit 10G coupled to the processor, the memory unit including the configuration information associated with the transceiver for a plurality of regions; and a position determination system 10E coupled to the processor, the

position determination system for identifying the geographic position of the mobile access point.

See FIGS. 1 and 2, and paragraph [0050], lines 1-14.

Vallstrom fails to disclose that the mobile access point is operable to provide a point of connection for wireless communications between a distributed computer network and a wireless client device and the configuration information includes an updated radio frequency for transmitting wireless communications between the distributed computer network and a wireless client device.

However, in an analogous art, Alldredge discloses these features in claims 2 and 11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method in Vallstrom by incorporating these features in Alldredge for the purpose of allowing enhanced communication and allowing users of various fixed and portable communication devices to be informed of the location of the mobile access point.

Regarding claim 12, Vallstrom discloses that the mobile access point is operable to provide routing capability for routing data packets. See paragraph [0047], lines 1-14.

Claim 13 incorporates the limitations of claims 3 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 3 and 11.

Claim 14 incorporates the limitations of claims 6 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 6 and 11.

Claim 15 incorporates the limitations of claims 7 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 7 and 11.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Vallstrom discloses that the memory unit 10G includes second configuration information of an application for a second plurality of regions, where the

processor is operable to update the second configuration information in response to the geographic position. See paragraph [0039], lines 1-16.

Claim 18 incorporates the limitations of claims 8 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 8 and 11.

Claim 19 incorporates the limitations of claims 9 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 9 and 11.

Claim 20 incorporates the limitations of claims 10 and 11, and is therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 10 and 11.

configuration information is selected from a group consisting of: language, routing protocol, service provider, management protocol, telephone number, identification of entity for managing the mobile access point.

Claims 21-30 correspond to claims 1-10, and are therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-10.

Claims 31-40 correspond to claims 1-10, and are therefore rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-10.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Bhattacharya whose telephone number is (571) 272-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Weekdays, 9-6, with first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sh

lahar